

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/886,729	06/21/2001	Jong-Shing Guo	393312	6053
7590 12/16/2003			EXAMINER	
Kenneth D. Goetz			EGWIM, KELECHI CHIDI	
Lathrop & Gage,	LC			
Suite 2800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2345 Grand Boulevard			1713	
Kansas City, MC	64108			
			DATE MAILED: 12/14/2001	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		$(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{Y})$					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/886,729	GUO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Dr. Kelechi C. Egwim	1713					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statured to reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 (October 2003						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	 ☑ This action is FINAL. ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10 and 14-27 is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-13 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	withdrawn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action of form PTO-152.					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureath See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the finance of the translation of the foreign language put 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the certified copies of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the certified copies of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the certified copies of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the certified copies of the priority document is made of a claim for domest continuous continuous complex continuous continu	nts have been received. Into have been received in Applicate ority documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Into of the certified copies not receive stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(irst sentence of the specification of the corresponding to the specification of the sp	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. (a) and/or 121 since a specific					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/886,729

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's affirmation of the election of Group I, species b), claims 1-9 and 11-13 in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. This application contains claims 10 and 14-27, drawn to a nonelected invention. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-9 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, for reasons cited in the previous action.

Still no useful prior art search/determination was possible due to the general indefinite nature of the claim as indicated in the previous action.

Application/Control Number: 09/886,729

Art Unit: 1713

Response to Arguments

Page 3

5. Applicant's arguments filed 10/15/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

6. Contrary to applicant's remarks, there is clear confusion in the claim as to the claimed process.

Claim 1, from which the balance of the claims depend, recites that the process comprises "contacting a polymerizable aqueous emulsion of at least one non-ionic monomer ... and at least one ionic monomer ... and at least on non-free radically polymerizable acid".

And, latter, the claim recites that "said non-free radically polymerizable acid is contacted with said polymerizable aqueous emulsion ...".

It is unclear how the non-free radically polymerizable acid is to be contacted with the same polymerizable aqueous emulsion it is already a part of, as defined earlier in the claim. It is still therefore unclear what process applicant is claiming.

- 7. Regarding claim 11, see the previous action and the above response to arguments with regard to claim 1.
- 8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 4

Art Unit: 1713

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Kelechi C. Egwim whose telephone number is (703) 306-5701. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T (7:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (703) 308-2450. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

KELECHI C. EGWIM PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

KCE